**Thesis Statement**

This essay is going to analyze Lasn’s and Farr’s arguments.

**Body paragraphs**

1. Lasn said depression rate is getting worse because of TV.
2. The study about depression of immigrants does not support his statement.
3. He brings commercial culture is toxic, but there is no evidence to support his statement.

2. Farr complains how cellphone is used.

a. Cellphone brings too much unimportant communication.

b. It is now important to communicate with others.

Is the evolution of technology good or bad for us? Most people agree with it. We appreciate the devices which have been invented to make our life better. However, sometimes they cause communication problems for us. There are readings which are written about the problems with TV and cellphone. In Toxic Culture Syndrome (Kalle Lasn, 2001), Kalle said TV causes depression. In another article, A Cell of Our Own Making (Moira Farr, 2003) said cellphone brings unimportant information to us. This essay is going to analyze Lasn’s and Farr’s arguments.

Lasn said the depression rate is getting worse because of watching TV (Lasn, 2001, p.294), but it is not effective. Lasn brings that immigrants from Mexico got sicker and the rate of their depression had doubly increased (Lasn, 2001, p.295). This is a matter of fact. However, this argument is not related to the reason why the depression rate is getting worse. So, it is not supporting the statement Lasn said. Also, Lasn mentions that our whole commercial culture is toxic (Lasn, 2001, p.294). It is brought to this article, but it is not explained why commercial culture is toxic and this argument is also not the proof why watching TV causes depression. In Lasn’s article, there is no evidence why the depression rate is getting worse because of TV.

Farr complains about how the cellphone is used (Farr, 2003, p.261). Farr’s statement has a strength and a weakness. It is mentioned that a cellphone brings too much unimportant communication (Farr, 2003, p.261). To support the evidence, Farr brings an example of how people are obsessed with their cellphone when she teaches at University. People are now in trouble to select what is important and what is not because the truth is too little and it is covered with unimportant information (Farr, 2003, p.261). Farr said, cellphones are great when it is used in an emergency, but unsure if a revolutionary change can be appreciated(Farr, 2003, p.261). We must admit how much a cellphone is useful and how much we rely on it. Compared to the time people do not own a cellphone, now it is easy to contact people who live far from where you live. Farr said absence made the heart grow fonder (Farr, 2003, p.261). It is because there was no means of communication at that time and people had to get along with it. Farr’s thesis has a strength and a weakness and both are mentioned in Farr’s writing.